

DS GOVERNMENT COLLEGE (W):: ONGOLE

(Affiliated to Acharya Nagarjuna University)



STUDENT SATISFACTION SURVEY
A.Y.2019-2020

COMMISSIONERATE OF COLLEGIATE EDUCATION, AP

D.S GOVERNMENT DEGREE COLLEGE FOR WOMEN :: ONGOLE – 523001. PRAKASAM Dt.

STUDENT SATISFACTORY SURVEY FORM ON FACULTY

Academic year	
Name of the Student	
Course , Year & Group	
Contact number	
email	
Name of the Lecturer & Subject	

Please read carefully and answer the following questions indicating your feedback on the academic performance of the above Lecturer. Five NUMBERS are given against each question ranging from 1 to 5 (5-Point scale). Choose one number as answer for each question. **ROUND OFF** which ever number you feel most appropriate to the question. Your ratings shall pertain to the above Lecturer only. Please give your ratings to the best of your knowledge frankly and without fear to improve the functioning of the College.

<i>1-Poor</i>	<i>2-Satisfactory</i>	<i>3-Good</i>	<i>4-Very Good</i>	<i>5-Excellent</i>
---------------	-----------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------------

S.No	Question	Opinion				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	The Interest generated in the students while teaching in the Class room.	5	4	3	2	1
2	Level of latest developments in the subject taught	5	4	3	2	1
3	Use of other method of teaching like class seminar, question-answer, group discussion etc, in the class room	5	4	3	2	1
4	Encouraging questions on the topic from the class and clearing doubts	5	4	3	2	1
5	Quality of synopsis/notes on the topics supplied to the students	5	4	3	2	1
6	Encouragement and guidance given to students in reading library (Subject & General) books	5	4	3	2	1
7	Regularity and punctuality of teacher to the class.	5	4	3	2	1
8	Accessibility of the lecturer outside the class room for academic interaction	5	4	3	2	1
9	Efforts to cover the syllabus 100% effectively by the teacher?	5	4	3	2	1
10	How well is the teacher able to communicate the class?	5	4	3	2	1
11	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	5	4	3	2	1
12	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	5	4	3	2	1
13	The interest of the teacher in arranging field visits for students?	5	4	3	2	1
14	Identification of your strengths and encouragement in providing right level of challenges by the teacher	5	4	3	2	1
15	Identification of your weakness and helping you to overcome them	5	4	3	2	1
16	Usage of student centric methods like experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving for enhancing learning experiences by the teacher	5	4	3	2	1
17	Encouragement of your teacher to participate in co-curricular, extracurricular activities and community services	5	4	3	2	1
18	Efforts on personal care through ward counseling made by the teacher to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the society.	5	4	3	2	1
19	Usage of ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc., while teaching	5	4	3	2	1
20	The overall teaching quality of the faculty in the class	5	4	3	2	1

21. Give any two observations on the overall teaching – learning experience in the class with reference to the above faculty




ANALYSIS OF STUDENT SATISFACTION SURVEY :2019-20

The feedback was Collected on Teachers:

Name of the Lecturer :AV Subhashini

Department: English




1. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on interest generation,
2. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on level of expertise on the subject taught.
3. The teacher was graded by 100% student as excellent on usage of other methods of teaching like class room seminars, question answer and group discussion etc.,
4. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good on encouraging the students on posing questions.
5. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10 % students as very good on providing material.
6. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and 30% students as very good in guiding to referring library books.
7. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on regularity and punctuality.
8. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good on accessibility of outside the class room for academic interaction.
9. The Teacher was graded 90% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good in completion of syllabus and communication of subject
10. The Teacher was graded 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good in communication of subject
11. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and 30% students as very good in fairness in Internal evaluation.
12. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% students as very good discussion on performance in assignments.

13. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and 30% students as very good in arranging field visits.
14. The Teacher was graded 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good in identification of strength and encourage the right level of challenges.
15. The Teacher was graded 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good in identification of weaknesses, helping to overcome them.
16. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good on adoption of student centric methods like experiential learning participative learning and problem solving
17. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and 20% students as very good in co-curricular and extra-curricular activities.
18. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on taking personal care through ward counselling.
19. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good and by 10% students as good in usage of ICT tools.
20. The overall teaching quality of the teacher felt by the student is excellent.

Name of the Lecturer : P. Yamini Ammaji

Department: Telugu




1. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on interest generation,
2. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% students as very good on level of expertise on the subject taught.
3. The teacher was graded by 90% student as excellent and 10% students as very good on usage of other methods of teaching like class room seminars, question answer and group discussion etc.,
4. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on encouraging the students on posing questions.
5. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on providing material.


6. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent in guiding to referring library books.
7. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on regularity and punctuality.
8. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good on accessibility of outside the class room for academic interaction.
9. The Teacher was graded 90% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good in completion of syllabus and communication of subject
10. The Teacher was graded 90% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good in communication of subject
11. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent in fairness in Internal evaluation.
12. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% students as very good discussion on performance in assignments.
13. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent in arranging field visits.
14. The Teacher was graded 90% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good in identification of strength and encourage the right level of challenges.
15. The Teacher was graded 70% students as excellent and by 30% students as very good in identification of weaknesses, helping to overcome them.
16. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on adoption of student centric methods like experiential learning participative learning and problem solving
17. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent in co-curricular and extra-curricular activities.
18. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% students as very good on taking personal care through ward counselling.
19. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent in usage of ICT tools.
20. The overall teaching quality of the teacher felt by the student is excellent.

Name of the Lecturer :V.Krishna Vijaya

Department: Computer Science



1. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on interest generation,
2. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% students as very good on level of expertise on the subject taught.
3. The teacher was graded by 100% student as excellent on usage of other methods of teaching like class room seminars, question answer and group discussion etc.,
4. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good on encouraging the students on posing questions.
5. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on providing material.
6. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% students as very good in guiding to referring library books.
7. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% students as very good on regularity and punctuality.
8. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on accessibility of outside the class room for academic interaction.
9. The Teacher was graded 90% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good in completion of syllabus and communication of subject
10. The Teacher was graded 100% students as excellent in communication of subject
11. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and 20% students as very good in fairness in Internal evaluation.
12. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent discussion on performance in assignments.
13. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% students as very good in arranging field visits.
14. The Teacher was graded 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good in identification of strength and encourage the right level of challenges.
15. The Teacher was graded 60% students as excellent and by 40% students as very good in identification of weaknesses, helping to overcome them.
16. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent and by 50% students as very good on adoption of student centric methods like experiential learning participative learning and problem solving
17. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent and 40% students as very good in co-curricular and extra-curricular activities.
18. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent and 50% students as very good on taking personal care through ward counselling.
19. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent and by 40% students as very good in usage of ICT tools.
20. The overall teaching quality of the teacher felt by the student is excellent.




1. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on interest generation,
2. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and 20% students as good on level of expertise on the subject taught.
3. The teacher was graded by 100% student as excellent on usage of other methods of teaching like class room seminars, question answer and group discussion etc.,
4. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good on encouraging the students on posing questions.
5. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent and 40 % students as very good and 10% students as good on providing material.
6. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent in guiding to referring library books.
7. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% students as very good on regularity and punctuality.
8. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and by 30% students as very good on accessibility of outside the class room for academic interaction.
9. The Teacher was graded 100% students as excellent in completion of syllabus and communication of subject
10. The Teacher was graded 100% students as excellent in communication of subject
11. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent in fairness in Internal evaluation.
12. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on performance in assignments.
13. The teacher was graded by 40% students as excellent and 60% students as very good in arranging field visits.
14. The Teacher was graded 90% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good in identification of strength and encourage the right level of challenges.
15. The Teacher was graded 70% students as excellent and by 30% students as very good in identification of weaknesses, helping to overcome them.

16. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent, by 40% students as very good and 10% students as good on adoption of student centric methods like experiential learning participative learning and problem solving
17. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent in co-curricular and extra-curricular activities.
18. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% of students as very good on taking personal care through ward counselling.
19. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good in usage of ICT tools.
20. The overall teaching quality of the teacher felt by the student is excellent.


Name of the Lecturer :B. Showreelamma

Department: Commerce



1. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent, 30% students as very good and 20% students as good on interest generation,
2. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent, 30% students as very good and 10% students as good on level of expertise on the subject taught.
3. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent, 20% students as very good and 10% students as good on usage of other methods of teaching like class room seminars, question answer and group discussion etc.,
4. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good and 20% students as good on encouraging the students on posing questions.
5. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent and 40 % students as very good on providing material.
6. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent and 40 % students as very good and 10% students as good in guiding to referring library books.

7. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and 10% students as very good and 10% students as good on regularity and punctuality.
8. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent, 10% students as very good, 10% students as good and 10% students as satisfactory on accessibility of outside the class room for academic interaction.
9. The Teacher was graded 90% student as excellent and 10% students as good in completion of syllabus and communication of subject.
10. The Teacher was graded by 70% student as excellent, 20% students as very good and 10% students as good in communication of subject.
11. The teacher was graded by 70% student as excellent, 20% students as very good and 10% students as good in fairness in Internal evaluation.
12. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent, 20% students as very good, 10% students as good and 10% students as satisfactory discussion on performance in assignments.
13. The teacher was graded by 40% students as excellent, 40% students as very good, 10% students as good and 10% students as satisfactory in arranging field visits.
14. The Teacher was graded 60% students as excellent, 20% students as very good, 10% students as good and 10% students as satisfactory in identification of strength and encourage the right level of challenges.
15. The Teacher was graded 40% students as excellent, 30% students as very good, 20% students as good and 10% students as satisfactory in identification of weaknesses, helping to overcome them.
16. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent, by 30% students as very good and 10% students as good on adoption of student centric methods like experiential learning participative learning and problem solving
17. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent, 20% students as very good and 20% students as good in co-curricular and extra-curricular activities.
18. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent, 30% students as very good, 10% students as good and 10% students as satisfactory on taking personal care through ward counselling.
19. The teacher was graded by 20% students as excellent, 20% students as very good, 50% students as good and 10% students as satisfactory in usage of ICT tools.
20. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good on overall teaching quality of the teacher.




1. The teacher was graded by 30% students as excellent and 70% students as very good on interest generation,
2. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent, 40% students as very good and 10% students as good on level of expertise on the subject taught.
3. The teacher was graded by 90% student as excellent and 10% of students as very good on usage of other methods of teaching like class room seminars, question answer and group discussion etc.,
4. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent, by 20% students as very good and 10% students as good on encouraging the students on posing questions.
5. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent and 50 % students as very good on providing material.
6. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and 20% students as very good in guiding to referring library books.
7. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and 20% students as very good and 10% students as good on regularity and punctuality.
8. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good on accessibility of outside the class room for academic interaction.
9. The Teacher was graded 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good in completion of syllabus and communication of subject
10. The Teacher was graded 70% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good and 10% students as good in communication of subject.
11. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and 30% students as very good in fairness in Internal evaluation.
12. The teacher was graded by and 70% students as excellent and 30% students as very good discussion on performance in assignments.
13. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent and 50% students as very good in arranging field visits.
14. The Teacher was graded 70% students as excellent and by 30% students as very good

- in identification of strength and encourage the right level of challenges.
15. The Teacher was graded 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good in identification of weaknesses, helping to overcome them.
 16. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and by 30% students as very good on adoption of student centric methods like experiential learning participative learning and problem solving
 17. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% students as very good in co-curricular and extra-curricular activities.
 18. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent and 50% students as very good on taking personal care through ward counselling.
 19. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent and by 50% students as very good and by 10% students as good in usage of ICT tools.
 20. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good on overall teaching quality of the teacher.


Name of the Lecturer :D.Anantha Lakshmi

Department: Botany



1. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and 30% students as very good on interest generation,
2. The teacher was graded by 40% students as excellent and 60% students as very good on level of expertise on the subject taught and by.
3. The teacher was graded by 100% student as excellent on usage of other methods of teaching like class room seminars, question answer and group discussion etc.,
4. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good on encouraging the students on posing questions.
5. The teacher was graded by 40% students as excellent, 50 % students as very good and 10% students as good on providing material
6. The teacher was graded by 30% students as excellent, 60% students as very good and 10% students as good in guiding to referring library books.

7. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on regularity and punctuality.
8. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and by 30% students as very good on accessibility of outside the class room for academic interaction.
9. The Teacher was graded 100% students as excellent in completion of syllabus and communication of subject.
10. The Teacher was graded 60% students as excellent and by 30% students as very good and 10% students as good in communication of subject
11. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent and 50% students as very good in fairness in Internal evaluation.
12. The teacher was graded by and 80% students as excellent and 20% students as very good discussion on performance in assignments.
13. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent and 40% students as very good in arranging field visits.
14. The Teacher was graded 60% students as excellent and by 30% students as very good and 10% students as good in identification of strength and encourage the right level of challenges.
15. The Teacher was graded 50% students as excellent and by 40% students as very good and 10% students as good in identification of weaknesses, helping to overcome them.
16. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent and by 40% students as very good and 10% students as good on adoption of student centric methods like experiential learning participative learning and problem solving
17. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent and 50% students as very good in co-curricular and extra-curricular activities.
18. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent and 40% students as very good on taking personal care through ward counselling.
19. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent, by 20% students as very good and by 20% students as good in usage of ICT tools.
20. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent, by 10% students as very good on overall teaching quality of the teacher.




1. The teacher was graded by 40% students as excellent and 60% students as good on interest generation,
2. The teacher was graded by 40% students as excellent and 60% students as very good on level of expertise on the subject taught and by.
3. The teacher was graded by 80% student as excellent, 10% students as very good and 10% students as good on usage of other methods of teaching like class room seminars, question answer and group discussion etc.,
4. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and by 30% students as very good on encouraging the students on posing questions.
5. The teacher was graded by 40% students as excellent and 60 % students as very good and on providing material
6. The teacher was graded by 20% students as excellent, 30% students as very good and 50% students as good in guiding to referring library books.
7. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent, 20% students as very good and 20% students as good on regularity and punctuality.
8. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent, by 20% students as very good and 30% students as good on accessibility of outside the class room for academic interaction.
9. The Teacher was graded 50% students as excellent, 40% students as very good and 10% students as good in completion of syllabus.
10. The Teacher was graded 40% students as excellent, by 30% students as very good, by 20% students as good and 10% students as satisfactory in communication of subject
11. The teacher was graded by 40% students as excellent, 50% students as very good and 10% students as good in fairness in Internal evaluation.
12. The teacher was graded by and 60% students as excellent, 10% students as very good and 30% students as good discussion on performance in assignments.
13. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent and 50% students as good in arranging field visits.
14. The Teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent and by 50% students as very good in identification of strength and encourage the right level of challenges.

15. The Teacher was graded 20% students as excellent and by 60% students as very good and 20% students as satisfactory in identification of weaknesses, helping to overcome them.
16. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent and by 40% students as very good and 10% students as good on adoption of student centric methods like experiential learning participative learning and problem solving
17. The teacher was graded by 40% students as excellent, 20% students as very good and 40% students as good in co-curricular and extra-curricular activities.
18. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent and 30% students as very good and 10% students as good on taking personal care through ward counselling.
19. The teacher was graded by 30% students as excellent, by 30% students as very good and by 40% students as good in usage of ICT tools.
20. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent, by 30% students as very good and 10% students as good on overall teaching quality of the teacher.

Name of the Lecturer: Ch.Srinivasa Rao

Department: Economics




1. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and 20% st on interest generation,
2. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and 20% students as very good on level of expertise on the subject taught and by.
3. The teacher was graded by 40% student as excellent, 30% students as very good and 30% students as good on usage of other methods of teaching like class room seminars, question answer and group discussion etc.,
4. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent, 40% students as very good and 10% students as good on encouraging the students on posing questions.
5. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and 10% students as very good and 10% students as good on providing material.

6. The teacher was graded by 30% students as excellent and 70% students as very good in guiding to referring library books.
7. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good on regularity and punctuality.
8. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent, by 40% students as very good and by 10% students as good on accessibility of outside the class room for academic interaction.
9. The Teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent and by 30% students as very good and 10% students as good in completion of syllabus and communication of subject
10. The Teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent and 50% students as very good in communication of subject
11. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and by 30% students as very good in fairness in Internal evaluation.
12. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent and 40% students as very good discussion on performance in assignments.
13. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent, 20% students as very good and 20% students as good in arranging field visits.
14. The Teacher was graded 50% students as excellent and 50% students as very good in identification of strength and encourage the right level of challenges and taking personal care.
15. The Teacher was graded 70% students as excellent and 30% students as very good in identification of weaknesses, helping to overcome them and taking personal care.
16. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent and by 40% students as very good on adoption of student centric methods like experiential learning participative learning and problem solving
17. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent and 40% students as very good in co-curricular and extra-curricular activities.
18. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% students as good on taking personal care through ward counselling.
19. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% students as very good in usage of ICT tools.
20. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent and by 40% students as very good on overall teaching quality of the teacher.

Name of the Lecturer: Dr.K.V.Padmavathi

Department: Chemistry




1. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on interest generation,
2. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% students as on level of expertise on the subject taught.
3. The teacher was graded by 100% student as excellent on usage of other methods of teaching like class room seminars, question answer and group discussion etc.,
4. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on encouraging the students on posing questions.
5. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10 % students as very good on providing material.
6. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% students as very good in guiding to referring library books.
7. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on regularity and punctuality.
8. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good on accessibility of outside the class room for academic interaction.
9. The Teacher was graded 100% students as excellent in completion of syllabus and communication of subject
10. The Teacher was graded 100% students as excellent good in communication of subject.
11. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% students as very good in fairness in Internal evaluation.
12. The teacher was graded by and 100% students as excellent discussion on performance in assignments.
13. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and 10% students as very good and 10% students as good in arranging field visits.
14. The Teacher was graded 90% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good

- in identification of strength and encourage the right level of challenges.
15. The Teacher was graded 90% students as excellent and 10% students as very good in identification of weaknesses, helping to overcome them.
 16. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good on adoption of student centric methods like experiential learning participative learning and problem solving
 17. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and 30% students as very good in co-curricular and extra-curricular activities.
 18. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and 20% students as very good on taking personal care through ward counselling.
 19. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good and by 10% students as good in usage of ICT tools.
 20. The overall teaching quality of the teacher felt by the student is excellent.

Name of the Lecturer: G Sirisha

Department: Physics




1. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on interest generation,
2. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and 30% students as very good on level of expertise on the subject taught and by.
3. The teacher was graded by 90% student as excellent and 10% students as very good on usage of other methods of teaching like class room seminars, question answer and group discussion etc.,
4. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on encouraging the students on posing questions.
5. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and 20 % students as very good and 10% students as good on providing material in encouraging and guiding to referring library books.

6. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and 20% students as very good 10% students as good in guiding to referring library books.
7. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and 20% students as very good on regularity and punctuality.
8. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on accessibility of outside the class room for academic interaction.
9. The Teacher was graded 70% students as excellent and by 30% students as very good in completion of syllabus and communication of subject
10. The Teacher was graded 60% students as excellent and by 40% students as very good in communication of subject
11. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% students as good in fairness in Internal evaluation.
12. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and 20% students as very good discussion on performance in assignments.
13. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and 20% students as very good in arranging field visits.
14. The Teacher was graded 90% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good in identification of strength and encourage the right level of challenges.
15. The Teacher was graded 70% students as excellent by 20% students as very good and 10% students as good in identification of weaknesses, helping to overcome them .
16. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent and by 40% students as very good on adoption of student centric methods like experiential learning participative learning and problem solving
17. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and 30% students as very good in co-curricular and extra-curricular activities.
18. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and 20% students as very good on taking personal care through ward counselling.
19. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and by 30% students as very good in usage of ICT tools.
20. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good on overall teaching quality of the teacher.

Name of the Lecturer: G L Sudha Rani

Department: Physics




1. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on interest generation,
2. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent and 50% students as very good on level of expertise on the subject taught and by.
3. The teacher was graded by 80% student as excellent and 20% students as very good on usage of other methods of teaching like class room seminars, question answer and group discussion etc.,
4. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good on encouraging the students on posing questions.
5. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and 30% students as very good on providing material.
6. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and 20% students as very good and 10% students as good in guiding to referring library books.
7. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% students as very good on regularity and punctuality.
8. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good on accessibility of outside the class room for academic interaction.
9. The Teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent in completion of syllabus and communication of subject.
10. The Teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent in communication of subject
11. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent in fairness in Internal evaluation.
12. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent discussion on performance in assignments.
13. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and 20% students as very good and 10% students as good in arranging field visits.

14. The Teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent and by 40% students as very good in identification of strength and encourage the right level of challenges.
15. The Teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent, 20% students as very good And 10% students as good in identification of weaknesses, helping to overcome them and taking personal care.
16. The teacher was graded by 20% students as excellent and by 60% students as very good and 20% students as good on adoption of student centric methods like experiential learning participative learning and problem solving
17. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent and 50% students as very good in co-curricular and extra-curricular activities.
18. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and 20% students as very good on taking personal care through ward counselling.
19. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good in usage of ICT tools.
20. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good on overall teaching quality of the teacher.


Name of the Lecturer: B.Sulochana

Department: English



1. The teacher was graded by 40% students as excellent and 60% students as very good on interest generation,
2. The teacher was graded by 30% students as excellent, 60% students as very good and 10% students as good on level of expertise on the subject taught.
3. The teacher was graded by 80% student as excellent, 10% students as very good and 10% students as good on usage of other methods of teaching like class room seminars, question answer and group discussion etc.,

4. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on encouraging the students on posing questions.
5. The teacher was graded by 40% students as excellent ,50 % students as very good and 10% students as good on providing material.
6. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and 10% students as very good and 20% students as good in guiding to referring library books.
7. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% students as very good on regularity and punctuality.
8. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent, 10% students as very good and 10% students as good on accessibility of outside the class room for academic interaction.
9. The Teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent, 10% students as very good and 10% students as good in completion of syllabus and communication of subject
10. The Teacher was graded by 30% students as excellent and 70% students as very good in communication of subject
11. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent, 10% students as very good and 20% students as good in fairness in Internal evaluation.
12. The teacher was graded by and 60% students as excellent and 40% students as very good discussion on performance in assignments.
13. The teacher was graded by 20% students as excellent, 40% students as very good, 30% students as good and 10% students as satisfactory in arranging field visits.
14. The Teacher was graded 50% students as excellent, 40% students as very good and 10% students as good in identification of strength and encourage the right level of challenges.
15. The Teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent and 40% students as very good in identification of weaknesses, helping to overcome them.
16. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent, 10% students as very good and 40% students as good on adoption of student centric methods like experiential learning participative learning and problem solving.
17. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent,10% students as very good and 10% students as good in co-curricular and extra-curricular activities.
18. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and 30% students as very good on taking personal care through ward counselling.
19. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent and 50% students as very good in usage of ICT tools.
20. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good on overall teaching quality of the teacher.




1. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent on interest generation,
2. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent, 30% students as very good and 10% students as good on level of expertise on the subject taught.
3. The teacher was graded by 50% student as excellent and 50% students as very good on usage of other methods of teaching like class room seminars, question answer and group discussion etc.,
4. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good on encouraging the students on posing questions.
5. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent, 20 % students as very good and 20% students as good on providing material.
6. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and 30% students as very good in guiding to referring library books.
7. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% students as good on regularity and punctuality.
8. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent, 30% students as very good and 20% students as good on accessibility of outside the class room for academic interaction.
9. The Teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent and 50% students as very good in completion of syllabus and communication of subject
10. The Teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good in communication of subject
11. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent, 20% students as very good, 10% students as good and 10% students as satisfactory in fairness in Internal evaluation.
12. The teacher was graded by 40% students as excellent, 50% students as very good and 10 % students as good discussion on performance in assignments.

13. The teacher was graded by 40% students as excellent, 20% students as very good, 20% students as good, 10% students as satisfactory and 10% students as poor in arranging field visits.
14. The Teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent, 20% students as very good, 10% students as good and 10% students as satisfactory in identification of strength and encourage the right level of challenges.
15. The Teacher was graded 80% students as excellent and 20% students as very good in identification of weaknesses, helping to overcome them.
16. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent, 10% students as very good 30% students as good on adoption of student centric methods like experiential learning participative learning and problem solving
17. The teacher was graded by 100% students as excellent in co-curricular and extra-curricular activities.
18. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and 10% students as good on taking personal care through ward counselling.
19. The teacher was graded by 30% students as excellent, by 50% students as very good and by 20% students as poor in usage of ICT tools.
20. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good on overall teaching quality of the teacher.

Name of the Lecturer: Dr.D.Kalyani

Department : Mathematics



1. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good on interest generation,

2. The teacher was graded by 90% students as excellent and by 10% students as very good on level of expertise on the subject taught.
3. The teacher was graded by 50% student as excellent and 50% students as very good on usage of other methods of teaching like class room seminars, question answer and group discussion etc.,
4. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent, by 20% students as very good and 20% students as good on encouraging the students on posing questions.
5. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent, 10 % students as very good and 10% students as good on providing material.
6. The teacher was graded by 40% students as excellent and 60% students as very good in guiding to referring library books.
7. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent 10 % students as very good and 30% students as good on regularity and punctuality.
8. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent and by 40% students as very good on accessibility of outside the class room for academic interaction.
9. The Teacher was graded 80% students as excellent, by 10% students as very good and 10% students as good in completion of syllabus and communication of subject
10. The Teacher was graded 60% students as excellent and 40% students as very good in communication of subject
11. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and 20% students as very good in fairness in Internal evaluation.
12. The teacher was graded by 50% students as excellent, 40% students as very good and 10% students as good discussion on performance in assignments.
13. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent and 30% students as very good and 10% students as good in arranging field visits.
14. The Teacher was graded 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good in identification of strength and encourage the right level of challenges.
15. The Teacher was graded 40% students as excellent, by 50% students as very good and 10% students as good in identification of weaknesses, helping to overcome them.
16. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent and by 40% students as very good on adoption of student centric methods like experiential learning participative learning and problem solving.
17. The teacher was graded by 70% students as excellent and 30% students as very good in co-curricular and extra-curricular activities.
18. The teacher was graded by 60% students as excellent, by 30% students as very good and 10% students as good on taking personal care through ward counselling.
19. The teacher was graded by 30% students as excellent and by 60% students as very good and by 10% students as good in usage of ICT tools.
20. The teacher was graded by 80% students as excellent and by 20% students as very good on overall teaching quality of the teacher.